

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.3.1.1 Annual Time Series and Summary Statistics of Wet Marsh Potential Evapotranspiration Estimated at 17 NOAA Stations Plus Lake Okeechobee..	49
Table 2.3.4.1 Variation of KFACT as a Function of Water Table Location	53
Table 2.3.4.2 Static ET Parameters Used in the South Florida Water Management Model	55
Table 2.3.4.3 Calibrated Vegetation/Crop Coefficient (KVEG) as a Function of Land Use and Month as Implemented in the South Florida Water Management Model.....	56
Table 2.4.2.1 Overland Flow Coefficients for Effective Roughness as Used in the SFWMM (cell-to-cell overland flow)	71
Table 2.5.3.1 Levee Seepage Coefficients (β_0 , β_1 , β_2) Used in the SFWMM	77
Table 2.7.3.1 Constants from the National Oceanic Service Products and Services Division used to compute water level for the secondary stations	105
Table 3.1.3.1 Lake Okeechobee Operations in the South Florida Water Management Model.....	112
Table 3.1.3.2 Classification of Tributary Hydrologic Regimes.....	114
Table 3.1.3.3 Classification of Seasonal and Multi-Seasonal Inflow Predictions.....	115
Table 3.1.3.4 Pulse Release Hydrographs for the Three Levels of Zone D Regulation Schedule for Lake Okeechobee	117
Table 3.2.2.1 Variation of KFACT in the Equation for Theoretical Total Evapotranspiration as a Function of Depth.....	129
Table 3.2.3.1 Operational Constraints Used in the SFWMM for Removing Excess Runoff from EAA Basins	135
Table 3.2.3.2 Allowable Percentage of Design Discharge through the Major EAA Conveyance Canals	136
Table 3.2.3.3 Some Physical Properties of the Eight EAA Canal Reaches Used in Calculating Conveyance Capacities through the EAA.....	138
Table 3.2.4.1 Fractional Contributions of 298 Districts to Major EAA Canals	141
Table 3.2.5.1 General Operating Considerations for STA-type Reservoirs in the EAA Simulation within the South Florida Water Management Model	143
Table 3.3.7.1 Comparison of Modeled Demands to Work Plan Entitlement for Seminole Brighton Reservation.....	152
Table 3.3.7.2 Comparison of Modeled Demands to Work Plan Entitlement for Seminole Big Cypress Reservation	152
Table 3.3.8.1 Fractional Contributions of 298 Districts to Major EAA Canals	153
Table 3.4.2.1 Comparison between Actual and Modeled Areas in the WCAs.....	165
Table 3.4.2.2 Trigger Locations for Regulatory Releases from WCAs as Used in the SFWMM.....	167
Table 3.4.2.3 Structure Operations Associated with Regulatory Discharges in the Water Conservation Areas	172
Table 3.4.2.4 Water Conservation Area Floor Elevations Used in the SFWMM	174
Table 3.4.2.5 Structure Operations for Water Supply Releases from WCAs to LECSAs	175
Table 3.4.2.6 Examples of Import and Export Triggers	181
Table 3.5.2.1 Canal Definition Data for Example Hypothetical Canal Network	188
Table 3.5.2.2 Routing Information for Example Hypothetical Canal Network.....	188

Table 3.5.2.3 Branch Information for Example Hypothetical Canal Network	188
Table 3.5.4.1 Proposed Cutbacks for Simulating the Short-Term Water Use Restrictions in the Lower East Coast Service Areas	196
Table 3.5.5.1 Average Daily Withdrawal (in MGD) from LEC Surficial Aquifer for Public Water Supply in Eastern Palm Beach, Broward and Miami-Dade Counties.....	200
Table 3.6.2.1 District's rainfall monitoring stations in the EAA used in BMP replacement water calculations	210
Table 3.6.2.2 Statistics of the estimated BMP replacement water target time series by water year	211
Table 3.6.2.3 Monthly Distribution Target Percentages for BMP makeup water deliveries....	212
Table 4.1.1.1 General Rules Used in Adjusting Calibration Parameters for the Everglades Agricultural Area in the South Florida Water Management Model.....	218
Table 4.1.1.2 KCALIB Calibration Coefficients for Unrestricted ET in the EAA	219
Table 4.1.1.3 Final Values of Calibration Parameters (fracdph max and min) used for the EAA in the SFWMM (v5.5).....	219
Table 4.2.2.1 Calibration and Verification Statistics for the WCAs, the ENP, BCNP, Holey Land and Rotenberger WMAs, and the LECSAs.....	240
Table 4.3.1.1 Caloosahatchee Calibrated Values for AFSIRS Water Budget Model Parameters	251
Table 4.3.1.2 Caloosahatchee Calibrated Values for WATBAL Model Parameters.....	252
Table 4.3.1.3 Caloosahatchee Calibrated Values for Monthly PET Correction Factors (Kc)... <td>252</td>	252
Table 4.3.1.4 Caloosahatchee Measures of Goodness of Fit for Calibration of AFSIRS Water Budget Model	252
Table 4.3.1.5 Caloosahatchee Measures of Goodness of Fit for Calibration of WATBAL Model.....	254
Table 4.3.1.6 Caloosahatchee Water Budget Summaries for Calibrated Land Use Types (ECAL-D sub-basin)	256
Table 4.3.2.1 Brighton/Istokpoga Calibrated Values for AFSIRS Water Budget Model Parameters	257
Table 4.3.2.2 Brighton/Istokpoga Values for Monthly PET Correction Factors (Kc) as Calibrated in Caloosahatchee Basin	258
Table 4.3.2.3 Brighton/Istokpoga Measures of Goodness of Fit for Calibration of AFSIRS Water Budget Model	258
Table 4.3.3.1 Big Cypress Reservation Calibrated Values for AFSIRS Water Budget Model Parameters	261
Table 4.3.3.2 Big Cypress Reservation Comparison of Modeled Demands to Work Plan Entitlement for the period 1965-2000	262
Table 4.3.3.3 Big Cypress Reservation Water Budget Summaries for Calibrated Land Use Types (1991-2000 calibration period).....	262
Table 4.3.3.4 Feeder Canal Basin Calibrated Values for WATBAL Model Parameters	263
Table 4.3.3.5 Feeder Canal Basin Measures of Goodness of Fit for Calibration of WATBAL Model (1991-2000 period)	263
Table 4.3.3.6 Feeder Canal Basin Water Budget Summaries for Calibrated Land Use Types (1991-2000 calibration period).....	264
Table 5.2.1 Parameter variation value at 95% confidence level.....	272

Table 5.2.2 Parameter Resolution Matrix	278
Table 5.2.3 Parameter Correlation Matrix	278
Table 6.2.1 Average uncertainty band values per region, and parameters that contributed the most, based on first-order approximation.....	282
Table 6.2.2 Half-Width of 90% Uncertainty Band and Contributions by Parameters for BCNP.....	282
Table 6.2.3 Half-Width of 90% Uncertainty Band and Contributions by Parameters for ENP	283
Table 6.2.4 Half-Width of 90% Uncertainty Band and Contributions by Parameters for LEC SA1	284
Table 6.2.5 Half-Width of 90% Uncertainty Band and Contributions by Parameters for LEC SA2	285
Table 6.2.6 Half-Width of 90% Uncertainty Band and Contributions by Parameters for LEC SA3	286
Table 6.2.7 Half-Width of 90% Uncertainty Band and Contributions by Parameters for WCAs	287
Table 6.2.8 Half-Width of 90% Uncertainty Band and Contributions by Parameters for Canals	289
Table 6.2.9 Half-Width of 90% Total Uncertainty Band for BCNP	292
Table 6.2.10 Half-Width of 90% Total Uncertainty Band for ENP	293
Table 6.2.11 Half-Width of 90% Total Uncertainty Band for LEC SA1	294
Table 6.2.12 Half-Width of 90% Total Uncertainty Band for LEC SA2	295
Table 6.2.13 Half-Width of 90% Total Uncertainty Band for LEC SA3	296
Table 6.2.14 Half-Width of 90% Total Uncertainty Band for Canals	297
Table 6.2.15 Half-Width of 90% Total Uncertainty Band for WCAs.....	299